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Introduction

Aerospace jobs require sustained mental work for periods of up to several hours, often without intermittent rest periods. NASA astronauts perform mentally demanding intra- and extravehicular activities, which may last for several hours. Airline and military pilots fly continuously for hours at a time. Laboratory experiments and operational reports from situations like these show that performance and associated cognitive functions decline with time on task. The affected cognitive functions include alertness, attention, working memory, long-term memory recall, situation awareness, judgment, and executive control.

In this study we were concerned with decrements in cognitive function arising during sustained mental work in a controlled laboratory experiment. We refer to these decrements as cognitive fatigue, to distinguish them from effects of sleepiness, motivation, learning, and physical fatigue. We define cognitive fatigue as the unwillingness to continue performance of mental work in alert, motivated subjects (Montgomery et al., 1995), a notion which has been supported by behavioral studies (Hockey, 1997). In this study we examine EEG and ERP correlates of cognitive fatigue during sustained mental work. We also describe useful EEG measures and statistical models for estimating and predicting cognitive fatigue in individual subjects.
EEG Hypotheses

Previous studies have reported EEG spectral changes as alertness declines. For example, the proportion of low frequency EEG waves, such as theta and alpha rhythms, may increase while higher frequency waves, such as beta rhythms may decrease. For example, as alertness fell and error rates rose in a vigilance task, Makeig and Inlow (1993), found progressive increases in EEG power at frequencies centered near 4 and 14 Hz. Thus, the relative power of theta, alpha, and other EEG rhythms may serve to indicate the level of fatigue that subjects experience. However, the EEG spectral changes that relate to cognitive fatigue, in the absence of alertness decrements are unclear because most experiments have used vigilance-like paradigms to examine fatigue or short experimental sessions which induce high workload. In contrast, we used a sustained low-workload mental arithmetic task and encouraged subjects to maintain alertness, motivation, and high response accuracy so as to minimize vigilance-related effects of arousal or alertness decrements.

We designed our study to allow for high-resolution estimation of the EEG frequency spectrum over the entire course of each experimental run. In addition, we tested the null hypothesis that EEG power in specific theta and alpha bands remained constant over the course of a fatigue-inducing task.
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ERP Hypotheses

Other studies have suggested links between fatigue and changes in event-related potential (ERP) components. For example the visual N100 component is sensitive to spatial and non-spatial directions of attention, being of larger amplitude for attended than ignored stimuli. If fatigue were to reduce subjects’ ability to focus and sustain attention to task-relevant stimuli, there may be correlated decreases in N100 amplitude. Similarly, the P300 component is known to reflect the allocation of processing resources to task-relevant stimuli, being of larger amplitude in high-workload tasks than in low-workload tasks (Kramer, Trejo, & Humphrey, 1996). On the other hand, long periods of extended wakefulness (Humphrey, Kramer & Stanny, 1994) are linked to increases in errors, non-responses, response latencies, and P300 latencies, and decreases in P300 amplitudes. So if cognitive fatigue makes a given mental task seem more difficult than during non-fatigued conditions, we may find correlated increases in P300 amplitude for the task-relevant stimuli. However, if the effects of cognitive fatigue resemble those of extended wakefulness, we should find correlated increases in latency and decreases in amplitudes of the P300.

We designed our experiment to allow for the accurate estimation of ERPs elicited by the onset of each mental arithmetic problem. In addition we tested null hypotheses that specific ERP components, N100, P200, and P300 remained constant in amplitude and latency over the course of a fatigue-inducing task.
Methods

Subjects

We tested 16 subjects from the SF Bay Area community (12 M, 4 F, mean age 26.9y, _=7.4y). All subjects signed an informed consent approved by the NASA Ames Research Center and were paid for their participation. All subjects had normal vision and hearing, and 14 of them were right-handed (self reports).

Experimental Design

We tested several hypotheses about the dependence of subjective moods, observed behavior, performance, and physiological measures induced by continuous performance of mental arithmetic for up to three hours. We manipulated a single factor, time on task and used a repeated measures design. Subjective moods were indexed by the AD-ACL and the VAMS questionnaires. Observed behavior included ratings of activity and alertness from videotaped recordings of each subject’s performance. The performance measures were response times and accuracy. The physiological measures included several measures of spontaneous EEG and event-related potentials: (a) theta activity at Pz (both average power and peak amplitude in the theta band); (b) alpha activity at Fz (both average power and peak amplitude in the alpha band); and (c) peak amplitudes and latencies of the N100, P200, and P300 components of event-related potentials elicited by onset of the task stimuli.
Mental Arithmetic Task

Subjects sat in front of a computer with their right hand resting on a 4-button keypad. Arithmetic summation problems, consisting of four randomly generated single digits, three operators, and a target sum (e.g., $4 + 7 - 5 + 2 <=> 8$), were displayed on a computer monitor continuously until the subject responded. The subjects: a) solved the problems, b) decided whether their ‘calculated sums’ were less than, equal to, or greater than the target sum provided, c) indicated their decisions by pressing a key on the keypad. Keypad buttons were labeled $<$, $=$, and $>$. Subjects were instructed to answer as quickly as possible without sacrificing accuracy. After a response, there was a 1-s inter-trial interval, during which the monitor was blank. Subjects performed the task until either they quit from exhaustion or three hours had elapsed.
Activation Deactivation Adjective Checklist (AD ACL)

The AD ACL (Thayer, 1986) is a multi-dimensional checklist reflecting perceptions of activation. Individuals respond to 20 items using a 4-point rating scale (definitely feel, feel slightly, cannot decide, and definitely do not feel). The scoring procedure provided four subscales, including energy (reflects general activation), tiredness (reflects general deactivation), tension (reflects high preparatory arousal), and calmness (reflects low preparatory arousal).

Visual Analogue Mood Scales (VAMS)

The VAMS (Stern, 1997) measure eight specific mood states, including afraid, confused, sad, angry, energetic, tired, happy, and tense. The VAMS have a neutral schematic. That is, they have a ‘mood-neutral’ face (and word) at the top of a 100 mm vertical line and they have a ‘mood-specific’ face (and word) at the bottom of the line. Individuals mark the point along the line that best illustrates how they feel at present. Scores range from 0 to 100, with 100 indicating the maximum level of the mood and 0 indicating the minimum level of a mood.

Observed Activity and Alertness

Activity and alertness were measured by visual inspection of videotapes of each subject’s performance. The video tapes showed combined overall scene and facial views of the subject. For each 15-min interval a rater judged levels of alertness and activity (unnecessary motion) on a five-point scale. Ratings were tested for correlations with response times and accuracy, and with EEG spectral measures.
**EEG Activity**

We recorded EEG was continuously using 32 Ag/AgCl electrodes embedded in an elastic fabric cap (i.e., a Quik-Cap™). The electrode cap was placed on the participant according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Compumedics USA, El Paso, TX). The reference electrodes were electronically linked mastoids and the ground electrode was located at AFz. Vertical and horizontal electrooculograms (VEOG and HEOG) were recorded using bipolar pairs of 10 mm Ag/AgCl electrodes (i.e., one pair superior and inferior to the left eye; another pair to the right and to the left of the orbital fossi). Impedances were maintained at less than 5kΩ for EEG electrodes and 10 kΩ for EOG electrodes. The EEG was amplified and digitized with a 64-channel Neuroscan Synamps™ system (Compumedics USA, El Paso, TX), with a gain of 1,000, sampling rate of 500 s⁻¹ and a pass band of 0.1 to 100 Hz. Amplifiers were calibrated with a 50 µV signal prior to each testing session. The signals were stored on hard disk drives by a Pentium II computer equipped with Neuroscan Scan 4.2 software (Compumedics USA, El Paso, TX) and archived on optical media (CD-R).
Procedures

Participants: (a) were given an orientation to the study; (b) read and signed an informed consent document; (c) completed a brief demographic questionnaire (age, handedness, hours of sleep, etc.); (d) practiced the mental arithmetic task for 10 minutes; (e) were prepared for data collection by having the EEG electrode cap, EOG, and reference electrodes applied. They then completed the pretest self-report measures (i.e., the AD ACL and VAMS) and performed the mental arithmetic task either until three hours had elapsed or until volitional exhaustion had occurred. Task termination was followed by the completion of post-test self-report measures and participant debriefing.
Data Processing

The EEGs were: (a) submitted to an algorithm for the detection and elimination of eye-movement artifact; (b) visually examined and blocks of data containing artifact greater than 100 µV were manually rejected; (c) epoched around the stimulus (i.e., from –5 s pre-stimulus to +8 s post-stimulus); (d) low pass filtered (1-50 Hz); and (e) submitted to an automated artifact rejection procedure (absolute voltages >100µV). The overall single-epoch rejection rate was 47%. The ‘cleaned and filtered’ epochs were decimated to a sampling rate of 128 Hz. EOG artifact was removed by using wavelet-denoised VEOG and HEOG signals as predictors of the artifact voltages at each EEG electrode in a multivariate linear regression. The residuals of these predictions served to estimate the artifact-free EEG. EEG power spectra were estimated with the Welch’s periodogram method at 833 frequencies from 0-64 Hz (Welch, 1967). Peak and average power in the theta and alpha bands were measured at electrodes Fz and Pz respectively.

The ERPs were initially processed using the same methods as for the EEGs, then epoched around the stimulus (–1.5s pre- to +2s post-stimulus). The overall rejection rate was 19% for the ERP data. The ‘cleaned and filtered’ epochs were: (a) decimated to a sampling rate of 128 Hz; (b) corrected for ‘residual’ EOG artifact; and (c) averaged across the first 100, middle 100, and last 100 trials. The average ERPs were used to measure the latencies and amplitudes of the N100, P200, and P300 components. Latencies were peak latencies and were determined based on visual examination of the spatial distribution for the component (i.e., N100, P200, and P300). Amplitudes were mean amplitudes and were calculated in a window +/- 50 ms around the peak latency.
**Classification Procedure**

We classified single EEG epochs using KPLS-SVC, or *kernel partial least squares decomposition* of multichannel EEG spectra coupled with a *support vector classifier* (Rosipal, Trejo & Matthews, 2003). KPLS selects the reduced set of orthogonal basis vectors or “components” in the space of the independent variables (EEG spectra) that maximizes covariance with the experimental conditions). A SVC finds the hyperplane in the space of KPLS components that maximizes the margin between the classes.

In a pilot study, and in our present data, we found that the first 15 minutes on task did not produce cognitive fatigue, whereas cognitive fatigue was substantial in the final 15 minutes. So we randomly split EEG epochs from the first and last 15-min periods into equal-sized training and testing partitions for classifier estimation. Only the training partition was used to build the final models. The number of PLS components in the final models was set by five-fold cross-validation. The criterion for PLS model selection was the minimum classification error rate summed over all (five) cross-validation subsets.
**Statistical Analyses**

The data were analyzed using either singly or, when appropriate, doubly multivariate repeated measures analyses of variance with either time of measurement (for the self-report, behavior, and EEG analyses) or number of artifact-free trials as a within-subjects factor (for the ERP analyses). The AD-ACL subscale scores (energy, tension, calmness, and tiredness), VAMS subscale scores (afraid, confused, sad, angry, energetic, tired, happy, and tense), behavioral observation data (observed activity and alertness), theta activity data (peak and band-average amplitudes), alpha activity data (peak and band-average amplitudes), N100 data (amplitudes and latencies), P200 data (amplitudes and latencies), and P300 data (amplitudes and latencies) were analyzed using doubly multivariate analyses. The response times and accuracy data were analyzed using singly multivariate analyses of variance. For the doubly multivariate analyses, significant multivariate F-ratios were decomposed using single degree of freedom within-subjects contrasts. For the singly multivariate analyses, Huynh-Feldt-corrected degrees of freedom and \( p \)-values were reported (i.e., because of sphericity). In both cases, partial \( \eta^2 \) values were reported as effect size estimators.
Self-report Measures

The AD-ACL subscale scores were analyzed in a doubly multivariate analysis of variance with time of measurement (i.e., pretest vs. posttest) as a within-subjects factor. The main effect of time of measurement was significant ($F(4,5)=10.4$, $p<.01$, partial $\eta^2=.89$). Activation and arousal both decreased between pre-test and post-test. Within-subjects contrasts showed significant linear trends for energy ($F(1,8)=6.46$, $p<.04$, partial $\eta^2=.45$), calmness ($F(1,8)=21.3$, $p<.002$, partial $\eta^2=.73$), and tiredness ($F(1,8)=6.38$, $p<.04$, partial $\eta^2=.44$). Energy decreased from a pretest mean of 12.0 (SD=4.1) to a posttest mean of 8.6 (SD=3.7). Calmness decreased from a pretest mean of 16.8 (SD=1.6) to a post-test mean of 14.1(SD=1.8). Tiredness increased from a pretest mean of 10.1 (SD=4.3) to a posttest mean of 15.3 (SD=5.7). Thus, the AD-ACL data indicate that our manipulation decreased general activation (i.e., self-reported energy) and preparatory arousal (i.e., self-reported calmness) and increased general deactivation (i.e., self-reported tiredness).

The VAS subscale scores (i.e., for afraid, confused, sad, angry, energetic, tired, happy, and tense) were analyzed in a doubly multivariate analysis of variance with time of measurement (i.e., pretest vs. posttest) as a within-subjects factor. The main effect of time of measurement was non-significant, multivariate $F(8,1)=1.31$, $p=.59$. This analysis suggests that our manipulation, despite its effects on activation and arousal, did not influence moods.
**Observed Behavior**

We analyzed behavioral observations (i.e., observed activity and alertness) in a doubly multivariate analysis of variance (ANOVA) with time of measurement (i.e., 10 15-min periods) as a within-subjects factor. The main effect of time of measurement was significant (F(18,178)=3.70, p<.0005, partial η²=.27). This analysis suggests that time on task influenced behavior (i.e., observed activity and alertness levels). Moreover, time on task had a progressive effect on behavior. Within-subjects contrasts showed a linear decrease in alertness (F(1,10)=10.4, p<.009, partial η²=.51) and a linear increase in observed activity (F(1,10)=5.88, p<.04, partial η²=.51). Alertness decreased from a mean of 1.00 (SD=0.00) in the first 15-min period to a mean of 2.57 (SD=0.98) in the last 15-min period. Activity increased from a mean of 1.36 (SD=0.51) to a mean of 2.45 (SD=1.30) respectively.

**Response Times**

We analyzed response times (RT) in an ANOVA with time of measurement (15-min periods) as a within-subjects factor. The main effect of time was significant (Huynh-Feldt corrected F(3,39)=3.78, p<.03, partial η²=.24). This analysis suggests that time on task influenced performance. Moreover, time on task had a progressive effect on performance. Within-subjects contrasts showed a linear increase in RT (F(1,12)=8.29, p<.01, partial η²=.41) rising from a mean of 6.70 s (SD=2.18) in the first 15-min period to a mean of 7.87 s (SD=2.64) in the last 15-min period. We found the same pattern of significant effects for RT analyzed in an ANOVA with fraction of artifact-free trials (i.e., 1st 100, middle 100, and last 100) as a within-subjects factor.
Response Accuracy

We analyzed response accuracy in an ANOVA with time of measurement (15-min periods) as a within-subjects factor. The main effect of time of measurement was non-significant, Huynh-Feldt corrected $F(5,43)=1.74$, $p=.14$. We also analyzed response accuracy was also analyzed in an ANOVA with fraction of artifact-free trials (i.e., first, middle, and last 100) as a within-subjects factor. The main effect of number of trials was non-significant, Huynh-Feldt corrected $F(2,19)=2.84$, $p=.09$. This analysis suggests that, despite its effects on other aspects of behavior, time on task did not have a substantial influence on response accuracy.
**EEG Analyses**

We analyzed frontal midline theta (average power densities and peak amplitudes at Fz) in a doubly multivariate ANOVA with time of measurement (15-min periods) as a within-subjects factor. The main effect of time was significant (multivariate F(18,178)=2.05, p<.01, partial \( \eta^2 = .17 \)). Average power in the theta band increased from a mean of 199.36 (SD=97.50) during the first 15-min period to a mean of 256.58 (SD=135.57) during the last 15-min period. Peak amplitude in the theta band increased from a mean of 272.4 (SD=146.0) during the first 15-min period to a mean of 390.8 (SD=227.1) during the last 15-min period. This analysis suggests that theta increased with time on task. Moreover, this analysis suggests that time on task had a progressive effect on frontal midline theta activity. Within-subjects contrasts showed linear increases in average theta power densities (F(1,10)=7.42, p<.01, partial \( \eta^2 = .48 \)) and for the peak theta amplitudes (F(1,10)=9.31, p<.01, partial \( \eta^2 = .48 \)).

We analyzed midline parietal alpha activity (average power densities and peak amplitudes at Pz) in a doubly multivariate ANOVA with time of measurement (15-min periods) as a within-subjects factor. The main effect of time was significant (multivariate F(18,178)=2.20, p<.005, partial \( \eta^2 = .18 \)). Average alpha power densities increased from a mean of 307.4 (SD=434.3) in the first 15-min period to a mean of 459.0 (SD=593.9) in the last 15-min period. This analysis suggests that alpha increased with time on task. Moreover, this analysis suggests that our manipulation had a progressive effect on parietal alpha activity. Within-subjects contrasts showed linear increases in average alpha power densities (F(1,10)=6.07, p<.03, partial \( \eta^2 = .38 \)). Peak alpha amplitudes increased and trended similarly, but not significantly so.
**ERP Analyses**

We analyzed N100, P200, and P300 latencies and amplitudes in separate doubly multivariate ANOVAs with number of artifact-free trials (i.e., 1\textsuperscript{st} 100, middle 100, and last 100) as a within-subjects factor. For N100 and P300 alike, the main effect of number of trials was non-significant (N100 multivariate $F(4,54)=1.59$, $p=.19$; P300 multivariate $F(4,34)=1.02$, $p=.41$). This analysis suggests that time on task did not substantially influence the N100 or P300.

In the late P300 range (about 500 ms), P300 amplitudes were larger in the final 100 trials minutes than in the 1\textsuperscript{st} 100 trials, but not significantly so.

For P200, the main effect of number of trials was significant (multivariate $F(4,54)=7.77$, $p<.0005$, partial $\eta^2=.37$). This analysis suggests that time on task influenced the P200s. Moreover this analysis suggests that time on task had curvilinear effect on the P200s. Within-subjects contrasts showed a significant quadratic trend for the P200 amplitudes, $F(1,14)=16.1$, $p<.001$, partial $\eta^2=.54$. Within-subjects contrasts did not show a significant quadratic (or linear) trend for the P200 latencies, $F(1,14)=2.55$, $p=.13$. P200 amplitudes averaged 4.82 (SD=1.64) in the 1\textsuperscript{st} 100 trials, 6.21 (SD=2.38) in the middle 100 trials, and 4.29 (SD=1.72) in the last 100 trials.
Classification

We applied our classification procedure to EEG recordings from 14 subjects (two subjects had too few EEG epochs for model estimation). The EEG epochs were synchronized with the onset of each math problem, extending from -5s to +8s relative to each stimulus onset. As such there was some overlap among the EEG segments. However a second analysis of 3.5 s segments with no overlap produced highly similar results, so we will focus only on the long-epoch results. We also reduced the likelihood of EMG artifact by low-pass filtering the EEG with 11- or 18-Hz cutoffs.

For each subject we constructed a KPLS model using either linear or Gaussian (nonlinear) kernels and selected the best model as described above. We then constructed a support vector classifier for each model, which served to classify the KPLS component scores for each EEG epoch. Results for linear and Gaussian kernels did not differ, and on average linear kernels had slightly better results, so we focus on linear kernels here. Classification accuracies across both classes for 18-Hz filtered EEG ranged from 91.12 to 100% (mean = 97.01). The corresponding range for 11-Hz filtered EEG was 89.53 to 98.89% (mean=98.30%). The number of PLS components ranged from 1 to 4 (mean 2.77) for 18-Hz EEG and from 1 to 5 (mean 3.76) for 11-Hz EEG.
**KPLS Model Prediction**

We also examined the predictive validity of the KPLS-SVC models by testing them with data from the first nine intervening 15-minute periods (between first and last). The behavior of the classifiers for these periods was consistent with an orderly and smooth migration of single-trial KPLS scored from the non-fatigued to the fatigued class. This observation agrees with the trends we observed in response times, EEG measures, and behavioral observations.

The figure illustrates our prediction of cognitive fatigue with a KPLS model. Black circles and purple crosses are the KPLS C1 and C2 scores of single EEG epochs from fatigued (block 1) and non-fatigued (block 12) training sets, respectively. Colored diamonds are the KPLS C1 and C2 scores of single EEG epochs for intervening 15-minute blocks 2-10. Initially, the predicted points overlap with the region occupied by the non-fatigued training set. Over time, the predicted points shift towards the fatigue region. By the tenth block, most predicted C1 and C2 values are in the region occupied by the fatigued training set points.
KPLS Classification Accuracy with 18 Hz Filtered EEG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>Class 1</th>
<th>Class 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg: 100
KPLS Classification Accuracy with 11 Hz Filtered EEG

Overall
Class 1
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Subject
1  2  3  4  5  6  7  8  9  10  11  12  13  14  Avg
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Discussion

Behavioral Measures

Time on task produce decreased general activation (i.e., self-reported energy) and preparatory arousal (i.e., self-reported calmness) and increased general deactivation (i.e., self-reported tiredness) but did not influence moods. These effects support the assertion that our task produced a state of cognitive fatigue. Observed activity progressively increased while observed alertness progressively decreased over time. Moreover, there was a progressive, but moderate, slowing effect on response times. However, time on task did not influence response accuracy. Together, these results suggest that our subjects experienced cognitive fatigue, but did not sacrifice accuracy as may be expected if motivation had waned. The moderate, general increases in RT over time also indicate increasing cognitive fatigue, but not a severe increase as may be expected if lapses or sleep episodes had occurred frequently.

EEG and ERP Measures

The EEG analyses suggest that time on task had a progressive influence on frontal midline theta and parietal alpha activity. Both rhythms increased as a function of time on task. Our inspection of the EEG spectra did not indicate effects outside the theta and alpha bands. In particular, there were no indications of effects at 14 Hz or in the beta band. Our results do not support an overall slowing of the EEG in cognitive fatigue, as much as they indicate specific increases in frontal midline theta and midline parietal alpha power. A detailed analysis of our classification results can provide more specific details for individual subjects, which we will report in the future.
**ERP Measures**

The ERP analyses suggest that time on task did not have substantial effects on N100, P200, and P300 amplitudes or latencies. The one exception was P200 amplitude, for which time on task had a curvilinear influence, with larger amplitudes in the middle of the task. There was no specific hypothesis about P200 and its sensitivity to fatigue in other contexts is poorly documented. The non-significant effect on P300 amplitudes was in the direction predicted by the “increasing workload” hypothesis. P300 amplitudes were larger during the periods of relatively high cognitive fatigue as compared to fresh performance.

**Classification and Prediction**

KPLS-SVC classification of single trial EEG epochs was about 90% to 100% for with a mean of 97 to 98% depending on the low-pass cutoff. A small increase in classification accuracy appears to derive from including EEG in the 11-18 Hz range. The performance of these classifiers is highly accurate for single trials, and may serve as the basis for predictive models of cognitive fatigue in operational settings. Inspections of the predictive behavior of the KPLS models showed an orderly relationship of the scores to time on task and to correlated behavioral, subjective, and performance measures.

Future work will examine details of the KPLS models to describe individual frequency/electrode effects. For operational applications, we will also develop methods for minimizing the number of electrodes in the models, testing predictions of the models with new experiments, and developing adaptive statistical classifiers for on-line use.
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